

Midterm Review of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction - Survey for Stakeholder Inputs

This is an open survey coordinated by the stakeholder engagement mechanism (SEM) of the UNDRR, as part of the stakeholder consultation process for the Midterm Review of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 (MTR SF).

This survey is a part of the main MTR SF process, providing preliminary findings of stakeholders as inputs to consultations at, and deliberations of, the Global Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction 2022, and is open to anyone, *inter alia*, individuals, organisations, platforms and networks.

Please submit your inputs by 21st February 2022.

The Midterm Review

The review marks the midpoint in the implementation cycle of the Sendai Framework. Its scope includes, *inter alia*, a retrospective review of progress achieved thus far; an appraisal of context shifts and emerging issues; informing a prospective view with recommendations for action promoting risk-informed sustainable development to 2030 and beyond; and creating coherence with the stocktakes and reviews of other frameworks, conventions and agreements.

- Retrospective: Take stock of the implementation to date, assess progress made and challenges experienced;
- Context shifts, new and emerging issues: identifying changes in context since adoption in 2015, and new and emerging issues that must inform recommendations for action to 2030 and beyond;
- Prospective: Review challenges to be addressed and actions to be undertaken, accelerated or amplified between 2023 and 2030 and beyond;
- System Wide Coherence: It places a critical imperative on coherence in integrated action across the three dimensions of sustainable development, and across various sustainable development frameworks, e.g., the 2030 Agenda, Paris Agreement, Addis Ababa Action Agenda for Financing for Development (AAAA), New Urban Agenda (NUA), Agenda for Humanity (A4H), Global Compact for Migration (GCM), the International Decade for Action, "Water for Sustainable Development", the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) and the Aichi Targets, the Convention to Combat Desertification (CCD), the SAMOA Pathway, etc.

Through its scope the review aims to initiate inquiry and address the increasingly systemic, interconnected and cascading nature of risk within a changing global context and complex, adaptive systems.

Stakeholder Engagement

In keeping with an 'all of society approach' elaborated in the Sendai Framework, the MTR SF consultation process has been co-designed, and is being jointly coordinated by UNDRR and the SEM. It seeks to be grounded in the perspectives and lived realities of diverse groups relevant to disaster risk, its reduction and resilience building.

It includes the following elements: 1) open survey 2) e-consultations 3) focus group discussions on various thematic topics and regional contexts 4) literature review 5) bilateral discussions with key constituencies 6) multistakeholder roundtable 7) organisational level

review and stocktaking 8) independently organised activities 9) commissioned thematic studies 10) review of voluntary commitments of stakeholders.

While the MTR SF process will conclude in 2023, interim findings of this exercise and the associated report will form the basis of stakeholder consultations to be held at the Global Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction 2022 (GP22), informing the SEM declaration as well as stakeholder engagement in the official and unofficial sessions.

Please feel free to reach out to us with any questions, suggestions or clarifications.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Links

More information about the Midterm Review can be found on this page: <https://sendaiframework-mtr.undrr.org/about-mtr-sf>

Background Information

What best identifies you

Individual involved in DRR work

Organisation/Network/Platform Involved in DRR work (yes)

Name of organisation/network/platform:	Name of individual :
Full name of focal point for your entity for DRR work, UNDRR or SEM	Any other affiliation
Designation :	Email :
Email :	
Full name of person filling the survey	
Title/Designation	
Email	

What is your scope of work (local, national, regional, global)

Which region do you work in (Africa, Americas, Arab States, Asia, Caribbean, Central Asia, Europe, Pacific)

Which countries do you work in?

Are you a member of SEM or any other regional, technical or thematic stakeholder engagement mechanism associated with UNDRR?

This survey is open to anyone. You do not need to be a member of any formal stakeholder engagement mechanism to take part in the process.

Yes

No

Section 2- Background in DRR

How long have you been engaged in DRR work?

Less than one year

1 - 3 years

Since the adoption of the Sendai Framework for DRR

Since before the Sendai Framework for DRR

Please provide a brief overview/background of your work in DRR, resilience, and/or systemic risk

Organisations provide organisational background and individuals provide individual background.

Substantive Responses

None of the open-ended substantive questions are compulsory, however knowing your views in greater detail will enable us to better understand your points and address them.

Question 1: Progress in Sendai Framework Outcome and Goal, Targets & Priorities for Action

What progress has been made in the Sendai Framework's Outcome, Goal, Priorities for Action and Targets? What are major achievements of note? What challenges remain? Please answer these questions as it pertains to your specific context (local, national, regional or global)

Click here for the list of *Sendai Framework Targets and Priorities for Action*: <https://www.undrr.org/implementing-sendai-framework/what-sendai-framework>

- In Your opinion, what do you think of the following statement- "We are on track to reach the Sendai Framework expected outcome, and goal by 2030? ([strongly disagree](#); [disagree](#); [neither agree nor disagree](#); [agree](#); [strongly agree](#), don't know)

Priority Actions

- In your opinion what has been the progress on Sendai Framework Priority Action 1 - Understanding Risk ? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)
- In your opinion what has been the progress on Sendai Framework Priority Action 2 - Strengthening Disaster Risk Governance to Manage Disaster Risk? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)
- In your opinion what has been the progress been on Sendai Framework Priority Action 3 - Investing in DRR for Resilience? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)
- In your opinion what has been the progress on Sendai Framework Priority Action 4 - Enhancing disaster preparedness for effective response and to "Build Back Better" in recovery, rehabilitation and reconstruction ? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)

In your opinion what have been the major achievements of note? *(Please feel free to provide views on each priority separately)*

Targets

- In your opinion what has been the progress on Sendai Framework Priority Target A - Substantially reduce global disaster mortality? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)
- In your opinion what has been the progress on Sendai Framework Priority Target B - Substantially reduce the number of affected people globally? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)
- In your opinion what has been the progress on Sendai Framework Priority Target C - Reduce direct disaster economic loss in relation to global gross domestic product (GDP)? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)
- In your opinion what has been the progress on Sendai Framework Priority Target D - Substantially reduce disaster damage to critical infrastructure and disruption of basic services? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)
- In your opinion, what has been the progress on Sendai Framework Priority Target E - Substantially increase the number of countries with national and local disaster risk reduction strategies? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)
- In your opinion what has been the progress on Sendai Framework Priority Target F - Substantially enhance international cooperation to developing countries? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)
- In your opinion what has been the progress been on Sendai Framework Priority Target G - Substantially increase the availability of and access to multi-hazard early warning systems)? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)

Please provide your views on progress in the seven targets, including what you consider to be the major achievements? (Feel free to provide views on each target separately)

- In your opinion what do you consider to have been the major challenges and barriers to implementation of the Sendai Framework, and lessons identified? (Feel free to provide views on outcome and goal, priorities for action, and/or targets separately)
- In your opinion, how have quantitative targets supported efforts to realize the goal and outcome of the Sendai Framework?

- In your opinion, what are the prospects for the achievement of the goal of the Sendai Framework by 2030 based on progress since 2015 and expectations for the period 2023 to 2030? (low, low to moderate, moderate, moderate to high, high, don't know)

Question 2: Challenges, gaps and opportunities to implement an all of society approach

What are the challenges, gaps and opportunities to strengthen a more inclusive and participatory all-of-society approach to the implementation of the Sendai Framework? What recommendations would you make for strengthening multi-stakeholder collaboration to achieve the Sendai Framework? Please answer these questions as it pertains to your specific context (local, national, regional or global)

- In your opinion how have the Guiding Principles been applied, and particularly to what degree has the all-of-society, multi-stakeholder approach been adopted? (not well, not well to moderately well, moderately well, moderately well to very well, very well, don't know)
- In your opinion how has national and/or regional public policy, legislation, planning and organisation changed to align with the Sendai Framework? How has the principle of shared responsibility between central and local authorities, sectors and stakeholders been applied?
- In your opinion, how important have regional and subregional disaster risk reduction strategies and plans been in supporting national and local efforts to implement the Sendai Framework?
- In your opinion what measures have countries taken to enable integrated management of disaster risk across institutions, sectors, the private sector and other stakeholders?
- In your opinion, to what degree are traditional, indigenous and local knowledge and communities, in addition to scientific and technological insights, included in guiding risk assessment and risk-informed decision making and investment?
- In your opinion how can the application of the Guiding Principles be improved at all scales? How must it change in the period to 2030?

Question 3: Discussion on coherence and integrated action

This section addresses the coherence between different frameworks, conventions and agreements.

- In your opinion, what enabling measures have been implemented to integrate disaster risk reduction and management with actions addressing climate change, sustainable development, biodiversity, and other relevant domains, frameworks, conventions and agreements?
- Specific questions for stakeholder mechanisms of other frameworks- In your opinion how does the discourse in your framework, convention or agreement align with that of the outcome and goal of the Sendai Framework? What are your views on the current status of work on coherence and integrated action?
- In your opinion how can this be improved at all scales in the period to 2030?

Question 4: Looking forward

Looking forward, what are the key means to accelerate the implementation of the Sendai Framework in the remaining period to 2030?

- In your opinion what partnerships and initiatives have proved most successful? How and why?
- In your opinion given the systemic nature of risk, and experiences of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic (including cascading, indirect impacts), what adjustments are required to existing disaster risk governance and management approaches at the national and local, international and regional levels?
- In your opinion, what are your recommendations for the future implementation of the Sendai Framework? In particular, what do you feel could be done differently, or where should efforts be focused in the coming years?
- In your opinion what should governments and policymakers do, from the perspective of Stakeholder Groups, to step up their commitments?

Question 5: Open-ended space for inputs

This section intends to capture any other information, insights or inputs you want to share with us

Please share any other comments that you feel will be helpful for the MTR SF exercise

Please provide links to any reports or references that you feel will be helpful for both this exercise, and the literature review of the stakeholder component.